

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2005 LB 480

other than when they're serving communion at church, but then they don't charge you for it. So what charity do you know that operates a bar?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: None.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So how is your amendment supporting and helping charities, Senator Synowiecki? I'm asking you because it's your amendment. I'm not going to take something from the "peanut gallery."

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator, there might be a collateral benefit to enhance or increase the number of pickle card outlets for nonprofits.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Give me a nonprofit that operates a bar.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Well, the nonprofits don't operate the businesses. They...the businesses are used as a tool to get the pickle cards dispensed to the public.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You're talking, in your amendment, about...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers and Senator Jensen. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion, Senator Thompson, and it will be your third time, Senator Thompson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Mr. President, I'd let Senator...oh, excuse me. I was going to let Senator Chambers continue his thought. But I rise to oppose this division of this amendment for several reasons. First of all, I think this expands it way beyond the scope of what the intent of the bill is. I'm willing to compromise in some narrower areas, but to open bars to...that are food service areas to, and encourage them to become pickle cards sellers, to circumvent the law just doesn't make good public policy. Also, because the amendment as we look at it a little more carefully, pretty much has a bar definition that